Critique and Debate

Was Wuhan the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic? - A critique

Recently, Worobey et al. (2022) published a report with the title "The Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan was the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic" that succinctly summarizes their study [1]. A pre-print version of this study had earlier elicited a series of high-profile media coverages [2, 3]. All these reports deliver a social-political message that the Huanan market is the epicenter of COVID-19.

At the outset, we shall clarify the meaning of "epicenter" in the context of the pandemic. Epicenter, narrowly defined, is the point on the earth's surface directly above an earthquake. Hence, in this context, epicenter should be the place from which the pathogen spread globally to cause the pandemic. By this definition, what Worobey et al. identified is not the epicenter of the global pandemic for the obvious reason that the conclusion is based entirely on SARS-CoV-2 samples collected in Wuhan. Where else could they have pinpointed the epicenter with their samples? Had they used only virus data from the Antarctica, they would have concluded that some place on that continent is the epicenter. A relevant example is the island province across the Taiwan Strait. Studies that analyzed the virus data entirely from the island have also shown that the Taoyuan International airport south of the city of Taipei is the "epicenter". In short, what Worobey et al. (2022) shows is that the early epidemic of Wuhan centered around its seafood market, analogous to the Taoyuan airport "epicenter" of Taiwan.

In a technical sense, Worobey et al.'s title is vague. Did they simply mean that the Huanan Market is the "epicenter" of the early *local* epidemic in Wuhan? Nevertheless, the juxtaposition of "epicenter" and "pandemic" in their title must have meant the global epicenter. Indeed, the global media has read Worobey et al. to mean Wuhan with its seafood market is the epicenter of the entire pandemic [2-6].

Worobey et al. have failed to follow the standard practice of presenting a scientific report in the context of previous publications on the same subject. This is particularly important when the conclusion is diametrically opposed to those of previous publications. Worobey et al. should have compared their conclusions with studies that have more extensive data geographically. Furthermore, although the interest is in the early phase of the pandemic, it has been shown that samples from the subsequent periods can be informative about the early phase by interpolation. After all, later samples are far more abundant and better organized when the human societies became aware of the onset of epidemics.

We have compiled a set of reports on viral samples from wild animals [7-15] which collectively are far more global by geography than the report we critique here. Another set of diverse studies provides evidence that SARS-CoV-2 may have been spreading worldwide weeks or even months prior to the epidemic in Wuhan in December 2019 [16-23]. Such reports have been brushed aside due to a misconception on the onset of epidemics.

The misconception is most explicitly stated in a recent news report [24] as follows: "the idea of a pandemic origin outside China is preposterous to many scientists, because there's simply no way SARS-CoV-2 could have come from some foreign place to Wuhan and triggered an explosive outbreak there without first racing through humans at the site of its origin." In the absence of an evolutionary perspective, that human and chimpanzee could have a common ancestor would be equally "preposterous". Cohen's other points including the integrity of Chinese scientists is not worthy of a response.

Using the branching process to model the evolution of epidemics, Ruan et al. [25, 26] and Kucharski et al. [27] have shown that invasions into a new population could trigger local epidemics only sporadically in the early phase. Local epidemics may even reach an alarming level of infections before fading out on its own. In this sense, there may be many earlier local epidemics (or endemics) that rise and fall before the eventual success to spread globally from the true epicenter of the pandemic. The many reports of local infections prior to the global pandemic could be such manifestations[16-23].

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ruan et al. [28]'s title "The twin-beginnings of COVID-19 in Asia and Europe—one prevails quickly" may be a most explicit analysis of the multiple early events. Their analysis has corroborated the earlier sampling results from the Lombardy region of Italy [19, 20]. All these studies have concluded that Wuhan is not likely to be the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, however the critiques and debates in the scientific community may resolve the issue, it is unfortunate that the pre-print version of Worobey et al. has attained the unwarranted publicity on a subject of enormous social and political implications. The pre-print platform should be used by the scientific community to speed up exchanges, rather than by investigators to influence the societies at large before being debated among scientists.

References

- 1. Worobey, M, Levy, JI, Serrano, LM, *et al.* The Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan was the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Science*. 2022: abp8715.
- Maxmen, A. Wuhan market was epicentre of pandemic's start, studies suggest. *Nature*. 2022; 603(7899): 15-6.
- 3. Zimmer, C, Mueller, B. New research points to Wuhan market as pandemic origin. *New York Times*. 2022; **26**.
- Cohen, J. Studies bolster pandemic origin in Wuhan animal market. *Science*. 2022; 375(6584): 946-7.
- 5. Gill, V. Covid origin studies say evidence points to Wuhan market. *BBC News*. 2022.
- 6. Mathur, N. Evidence that the Huanan market in Wuhan, China, was the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. *News-Medical*. 2022.
- 7. Wu, Z, Han, Y, Wang, Y, *et al.* A comprehensive survey of bat sarbecoviruses across China for the origin tracing of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. 2021. Available at Research Square: http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-885194/v1.
- 8. Zhao, S, Hou, Y, Zhang, X, *et al.* Pinpointing the animal origins of SARS-CoV-2: A genomic approach. *J Genet Genomics*. 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jgg.2022.05.002.
- 9. Wu, Z, Jin, Q, Wu, G, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2's origin should be investigated worldwide for pandemic prevention. *The Lancet*. 2021; **398**(10308): 1299-303.
- 10. Temmam, S, Vongphayloth, K, Baquero, E, *et al.* Bat coronaviruses related to SARS-CoV-2 and infectious for human cells. *Nature*. 2022; **604**(7905): 330-6.
- Zhou, H, Chen, X, Hu, T, *et al.* A Novel Bat Coronavirus Closely Related to SARS-CoV-2 Contains Natural Insertions at the S1/S2 Cleavage Site of the Spike Protein. *Curr Biol.* 2020; **30**(11): 2196-203 e3.
- 12. Zhou, H, Ji, J, Chen, X, *et al.* Identification of novel bat coronaviruses sheds light on the evolutionary origins of SARS-CoV-2 and related viruses. *Cell.* 2021; **184**(17): 4380-91 e14.
- 13. Zhang, T, Wu, Q, Zhang, Z. Probable Pangolin Origin of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Curr Biol.* 2020; **30**(7): 1346-51 e2.
- 14. Lam, TT, Jia, N, Zhang, YW, *et al.* Identifying SARS-CoV-2-related coronaviruses in Malayan pangolins. *Nature*. 2020; **583**(7815): 282-5.
- 15. Xiao, K, Zhai, J, Feng, Y, *et al.* Isolation of SARS-CoV-2-related coronavirus from Malayan pangolins. *Nature*. 2020; **583**(7815): 286-9.
- 16. Montomoli, E, Apolone, G, Manenti, A, *et al.* Timeline of SARS-CoV-2 Spread in Italy: Results from an Independent Serological Retesting. *Viruses*. 2022; **14**(1): 61.
- 17. Lai, A, Tambuzzi, S, Bergna, A, *et al.* Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies and RNA on Autopsy Cases in the Pre-Pandemic Period in Milan (Italy). *Front Microbiol.* 2022; **13**: 886317.
- 18. Apolone, G, Montomoli, E, Manenti, A, *et al.* Unexpected detection of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the prepandemic period in Italy. *Tumori*. 2021; **107**(5): 446-51.
- Amendola, A, Canuti, M, Bianchi, S, *et al.* Molecular Evidence for SARS-CoV-2 in Samples Collected From Patients With Morbilliform Eruptions Since Late Summer 2019 in Lombardy, Northern Italy. 2021. Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=3883274</u> or <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3883274</u>.

- 20. Amendola, A, Bianchi, S, Gori, M, *et al.* Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in an Oropharyngeal Swab Specimen, Milan, Italy, Early December 2019. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2021; **27**(2): 648-50.
- 21. Deslandes, A, Berti, V, Tandjaoui-Lambotte, Y, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 was already spreading in France in late December 2019. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020; **55**(6): 106006.
- 22. La Rosa, G, Mancini, P, Bonanno Ferraro, G, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating in northern Italy since December 2019: Evidence from environmental monitoring. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021; **750**: 141711.
- 23. Canuti, M, Bianchi, S, Kolbl, O, *et al.* Waiting for the truth: is reluctance in accepting an early origin hypothesis for SARS-CoV-2 delaying our understanding of viral emergence? *BMJ Glob Health*. 2022; 7(3): e008386.
- 24. Cohen, J. Anywhere but here. *Science*. 2022; **377**(6608): 805-9.
- 25. Ruan, Y, Wen, H, He, X, *et al.* A theoretical exploration of the origin and early evolution of a pandemic. *Sci Bull (Beijing).* 2021; **66**(10): 1022-9.
- 26. Ruan, Y, Luo, Z, Tang, X, *et al.* On the founder effect in COVID-19 outbreaks: how many infected travelers may have started them all? *Natl Sci Rev.* 2021; **8**(1): nwaa246.
- 27. Kucharski, AJ, Russell, TW, Diamond, C, *et al.* Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020; **20**(5): 553-8.
- 28. Ruan, Y, Wen, H, Hou, M, *et al.* The twin-beginnings of COVID-19 in Asia and Europe-one prevails quickly. *Natl Sci Rev.* 2022; **9**(4): nwab223.
 - 1. Yanan Cao, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200025, China.
 - 2. Lingling Chen, College of Life Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China.
 - 3. Hua Chen, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Beijing 100101, China
 - 4. Yupeng Cun, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China.
 - 5. Xiaofeng Dai, Wuxi School of Medicine, Jiangnan University, 214122, Wuxi, China.
 - 6. Hongli Du, School of Biology and Biological Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China
 - 7. Feng Gao, Department of Physics, School of Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China.
 - 8. Fengbiao Guo, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China.
 - 9. Yalong Guo, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China.
 - 10. Pei Hao, Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200030, China.
 - 11. Shunmin He, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China.
 - 12. Shunping He, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430072, China.
 - 13. XiongLei He, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275.
 - 14. Zheng Hu, Institute of Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518055, China
 - 15. Boon-Peng Hoh, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, UCSI University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
 - 16. Xin Jin, School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China
 - 17. Qian Jiang, Department of Medical Genetics, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing, 100020, China.
 - Qinghua Jiang, School of Life Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150000, China.
 - 19. Asifullah Khan, Department of Biochemistry, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Mardan-23200 KP, Pakistan.
 - 20. Hong-Zhi Kong, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China
 - 21. Jinchen Li, Xiangya Hospital, Central South university, Changsha, China.
 - 22. Shuai Cheng Li, Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong 999077, Hong Kong, China.
 - 23. Ying Li, College of Life Science and Technology, Foshan University, Foshan 528225, Guangdong, China.
 - 24. Qiang Lin, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Guangzhou 510301, Guangdong, China.
 - 25. Jianquan Liu, College of Ecology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China.
 - 26. Qi Liu, School of Life Sciences and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai, China.
 - 27. Jian Lu, School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.
 - 28. Xuemei Lu, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China.

- 29. Shujin Luo, School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.
- 30. Qinghua Nie, College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, Guangdong, China
- 31. Zilong Qiu, Institute of Neuroscience, Chinese Academy of Sciences.
- 32. Tieliu Shi, School of life sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, China.
- 33. Xiaofeng Song, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211106, China
- 34. Jianzhong Su, Wenzhou Institute, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wenzhou, China.
- 35. Sheng-ce Tao, Institute of Systems Biomedicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.
- 36. Chaolong Wang, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.
- 37. Chuan-Chao Wang, School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China.
- 38. Guo-Dong Wang, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China.
- 39. Jiguang Wang, Division of Life Science and Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China.
- 40. Qi WU, Institute of Microbiology (IM), Chinese Academy of Science (CAS).
- 41. Shaoyuan Wu, School of Life Sciences, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, China.
- 42. Shuhua Xu, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China.
- 43. Yu Xue, College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China.
- 44. Wenjun Yang, International Center for Aging and Cancer, Hainan Medical University, Haikou 571199, China.
- 45. Zhaohui Yang, Academy of Medical Science, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450052, China.
- 46. Kai Ye, Faculty of Electronic and Information Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China.
- 47. Yuan-Nong Ye,Bioinformatics and BioMedical Bigdata Mining Laboratory, School of Big Health, Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang 550025, China.
- 48. Li Yu, School of Life Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming, China.
- 49. Fangqing Zhao, Beijing Institutes of Life Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- 50. Yiqiang Zhao, College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China.
- 51. Weiwei Zhai, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- 52. Dandan Zhang, Department of Pathology, and Department of Medical Oncology of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
- 53. Liye Zhang, School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, 201210, China.
- 54. Houfeng Zheng, School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou 310024, Zhejiang, China.
- 55. Qi Zhou, Life Sciences Institute, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.
- 56. Tianqi Zhu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- 57. Ya-ping Zhang*, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China.

*Correspondence: zhangyp@mail.kiz.ac.cn

RICIT